

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/522,513	BROWN LIE ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BENJAMIN P. BLUMEL	1648	

All Participants:

(1) BENJAMIN P. BLUMEL-examiner.

(2) Raymond Smith-Applicant's representative.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 102 (b) and 103 (a).

Claims discussed:

128, 129, 131, 134-136, 144 and 164-167.

Prior art documents discussed:

Parker et al. and Knappe et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted applicant's representative about proposed amendments that would place the application in condition for allowance. These amendments centered on the sequence identity of the S protein and SEQ ID NO: 4.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BENJAMIN P BLUMEL/
Examiner, Art Unit 1648

Status of Application: After RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:30PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)